	Case 3:05-cv-05565-RBL-KLS Document	15 Filed 02/01/06 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		NOTRICE COLUET
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA	
11	ATTA	COMA
12	RUSSELL J. YOUNG,	
13	Plaintiff,	
14	v.	Case No. C05-5565RBL
15	SUSAN L. BAUR, et al.,	ORDER ON MOTIONS FOR CLARIFICATION
16	Defendants.	
17		
18	This Civil Rights action has been referred to the undersigned Magistrate Judge pursuant to	
19	Title 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B). Plaintiff has not yet been granted <i>in forma pauperis</i> status and an	
20	order to show cause is pending. (Dkt., # 13). There are two motions in the file which the court will	
21	consider while the <i>in forma pauperis</i> issue is pending.	
22	On October 28 th , 2005 a motion for clarification was filed with an incorrect cause number.	
23	That motion was filed by ANDRE BRIGHAM YOUNG. (Dkt. # 8). Andre Brigham Young is not a	
24		
25	not be considered in this action.	
26	The second motion is a motion for clarification filed by the plaintiff in this action. (Dkt. #	
27	12). Plaintiff asks for clarification that defendant Baur will not represent defendant Miller. (Dkt. #	
28	ORDER	

Case 3:05-cv-05565-RBL-KLS Document 15 Filed 02/01/06 Page 2 of 2 12). Normally a court will not consider motions until preliminary issues such as the plaintiff's filing status are concluded. The motion for clarification does not raise either a legal or factual issue for determination by this court and is therefore **DENIED**. The clerk is directed to send a copy of this order to the Plaintiff and remove docket entries 8 and 12 from the court's calendar. DATED this 1st day of February, 2006. United States Magistrate Judge **ORDER**